# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

## FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 664-06

<u>Bill No.</u>: Truly Agreed to and Finally Passed SS for SCS for HB 185

Subject: Counties: Building Codes, Elections

Type: Original Date: May 30, 2001

# **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ES	STIMATED NET EFFI	ECT ON STATE FUNI	os .
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004
Elevator Safety *	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> State Funds *	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)

<sup>\*</sup> Loss of revenues would be less than \$100,000 annually.

EST	IMATED NET EFFE	CT ON FEDERAL FU	NDS
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ES	TIMATED NET EFFE	ECT ON LOCAL FUN	DS
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

## FISCAL ANALYSIS

# **ASSUMPTION**

## **Sections 64.170 - 64.196 County Building Codes:**

Officials of the Missouri Department of Transportation, Office of Administration- Division of Design and Construction, and the Department of Conservation assume there would be no fiscal impact to their entities.

Platte County and Camden County officials assume there would be no fiscal impact to their county.

Cape Girardeau County officials assume this proposal would have no fiscal impact to their county.

Oversight assumes that after August 28, 2001 a proposed building code would need voter approval before taking effect, then the county would have the cost of an election. The proposed code could only be voted on by voters in the area affected, election cost would vary, therefore, election cost is (unknown). This proposal does not mandate counties to adopt building codes, therefore, fiscal impact is shown as \$0.

#### **SECTION 64.342: Clay County- Certain Restrictions:**

**Oversight** assumes no fiscal impact to state or local government.

# **SECTION 1: Waive Rights to Certain Property in Scott County:**

Officials of the Office of Administration-Division of Design and Construction does not expect any fiscal impact.

Officials of the **Office of Attorney General** assumes there would be no fiscal impact to their office.

Officials of the **Department of Natural Resources** assume that this proposal would not appear to affect their department.

**Oversight** assumes there would be no fiscal impact to certain local governments.

WB:LR:OD (12/00)

L.R. No. 664-06 Bill No. Truly Agreed to and Finally Passed SS for SCS for HB 185 Page  $3\ of\ 4$  May  $30\ ,\ 2001$ 

## ASSUMPTION (continued)

# **SECTION 2: Elevator Inspection Exemptions:**

Officials of the **Department of Public Safety- Division of Fire Safety** stated that the Division assume that there would be a loss of revenue to the Elevator Safety Fund. Officials stated that it is unknown at this time how many elevators would be exempt from state inspections and what the total loss of revenue would be. Officials assume the loss of revenue would be less than \$100,000 annually.

Oversight will show loss of revenue as Unknown to the Elevator Safety Fund.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004
	(10  Mo.)		

#### **ELEVATOR SAFETY FUND**

<b>Loss</b> to Elevator Safety Fund			
from exemption of certain elevator	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
inspections. *		·	

\* Department of Public Safety- Division of Fire Safety assume loss of revenues would be less than \$100,000 annually.

\$0	\$0	<b>\$0</b>

## FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

WB:LR:OD (12/00)

L.R. No. 664-06 Bill No. Truly Agreed to and Finally Passed SS for SCS for HB 185 Page 4 of 4 May 30, 2001

# **DESCRIPTION**

This bill allows any county that does not have a building code prior to August 28, 2001, to adopt a building code, subject to voter approval. Current law allows first and second classification counties to adopt building codes with voter approval. Voters in an incorporated area would not vote on the county proposal. Any county seeking to create or amend a building code must adopt a current, calendar year 1999 or later edition, nationally-recognized building code.

The state of Missouri waives all rights to its possibility of reverter in the real property described in the quitclaim deed in Book 279 at pages 76-77 of the Scott County Recorder of Deeds office.

The bill also limits leases or grants for county-operated park concession stands or marinas in Clay County to 25 years and authorizes the county to operate a privately-operated marina for up to 24 months if the operator of the marina is in default or if no bids are received during the open bid period.

Any device that is not used by the general public and not located in a public building is exempt from statutes pertaining to elevator safety and inspection.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

## SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Public Safety
Department of Natural Resources
Office of the Attorney General
Missouri Department of Transportation
Missouri Department of Conservation
Office of Administration-Division of Design and Construction
Camden County Commission
Platte County Planning and Zoning Director
Cape Girardeau Planning and Zoning Director

Jeanne Jarrett, CPA

Director

May 30, 2001